

The following are examples of performance checklists (scoresheets) with merit/penalty values (scoring points). This document is being provided to the teams in advance of the 2022 IMRC for training purposes. These rule interpretations were agreed upon by Simulation Lead Judges and Simulation Judges in advance of the event.

These provided interpretations of the rules and scoring examples are for Section 4, Fire Fighting Scenario/Simulation Rules

Not all scoring examples provided in this document will be used in the 2022 IMRC problem design.

2020 International Mines Rescue Competition

Fire Fighting Scenario Scoresheets

September 13th – 15th 2022

TEAM NAME:	
COMPETITION DA	TE:
Judges Instruction	<u>s</u>
Merit Point Scoring:	
	0 = not done

+1 = poor attempt

+2 = needs improvement

+3 = excellent meets all requirements

1. Every line must be scored.

- 2. A score of 0, 1 or 2 must be explained by the judges.
- 3. When a score of 3 is applied, comments are encouraged









Rough Handling

- 1. Rough handling demerits will be deducted from the total score
- 2. Judges can deduct 1 to 5 points per incident of rough handling of equipment
- 4. Rough handling demerits will have a maximum of 10 points
- 3. Rough handling deductions must be explained by the judges

Team Safety:

If at any time the Simulation Lead Judge feels that a team member's safety may be compromised, the action will be stopped and re-direct negative (penalty) points will apply

Fire Hose Hook Up

1. Did the team flush the fire hydrant?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	
2. Did a team member remain at the fire hydrant until are hoses were established?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	







Fire Hose Lays

1. Was fire hose lay properly flushed?	0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	
2. Was open end of fire hose secured during flushing process?	0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	
Fire Hose and Nozzle Management	
1. Were teams split up equally on each hose lay?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	
2. Did team flush the fire nozzle?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	







3. Did fire hose team maintain an arm's length spacing at all times and keep the hose level and straight? 0 1 2 3

Judge's Remarks:
4. Did the nozzle man open the flow to the nozzle slowly, and deliberately? 0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:
5. Did the nozzle man dictate terms of advancement and maintain control of stream? 0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:
6. Did the team complete the nottons in the time alletted?
6. Did the team complete the pattern in the time allotted? 0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:









Live Fire/Remote Monitor Set Up

1. Did fire team advance with full fog pattern to designated point?	0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	
2. Were team members positioned along the inside of the hose lays?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	
3. Did trailing persons retrieving equipment maintain contact with the hose lin	ne? 0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	
4. Was monitor properly set up?	0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	







5. Did the team utilize proper techniques in transitioning from the nozzle to the mon	itor? 0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	0125
6. Did the team flush the monitor after it was established?	0 1 2 3
Judge's Remarks:	
7. Did the team adjust the monitor to a fire fighting pattern?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	
8. Did team members all maintain hand contact with hose on retreat to fresh air base	?
o. Bid team memoers an manatam mand contact with nose on retreat to fresh an ouse	0123
Judge's Remarks:	
9. Did team bring out nozzle and hose clamp?	0123
Judge's Remarks:	







Burn Pad Time	
Burn Tunnel Time	
Total Time	
	Total Merits:
	Minus Demerits:
	Total Score





